

A CONTRACT OF A

Ústav etnológie a sociálnej antropológie Slovenskej akadémie vied, v. v. i.

> Slovak Ethnology Journal Institute of Ethnology and Social Antropology SAS Klemensova 19 813 64 Bratislava Slovakia

Publication ethics and publication malpractice statement

Publication ethics and publication malpractice statement of Slovenský národopis/ Slovak Ethnology was prepared according to Elsevier's Publishing Ethics Resource Kit (PERK) ($\underline{1}$, $\underline{2}$) and Ethics in research and publication ($\underline{1}$) as well as according to COPE's Code of Conduct ($\underline{1}$, $\underline{2}$, $\underline{3}$).

Publication and authorship

- all authors must have significant contribution to the research. The occurrence of authors who contribute substantially but are not explicitly acknowledged, or, on the other hand, authors that are acknowledged but in fact are not involved in the research, is regarded as misconduct (for more information about authorship criteria look for example <u>online here</u>.);
- authors have to declare the financial support of the research (if relevant) and their professional affiliation;
- published articles should have the relevant list of references according to the journal's citation standards;
- all forms of plagiarism and use of fraudulent data are unacceptable;





- Ústav etnológie a sociálnej antropológie Slovenskej akadémie vied, v. v. i.
 - it is forbidden to publish the same research in more than one journal.

Responsibilities of authors

- authors are obliged to publish only real and authentic data;
- authors are required to respect an ethical approach towards research subjects (it includes also informed consent for participation of human subjects in research and publishing of their personal data); authors publishing in Slovenský národopis/ Slovak Ethnology have to respect <u>Code of Ethics of</u> <u>Slovak Academy of Sciences</u>; and <u>the detailed summary of the</u> <u>rules for ethical research in anthropology and ethnology</u> published on website of American Anthropological Association;
- authors should guarantee obtained permission for use of copyrighted materials;
- authors are obliged to participate in a peer-review process (see also points 1 and 5 in Peer-review and guidelines for reviewers);
- all authors have to cooperate in providing retractions or corrections of mistakes;
- for further information concerning responsibilities of authors see also Guidelines for contributors.

Peer-review process and responsibilities of reviewers

• all reviewing judgments should be objective;





Ústav etnológie a sociálnej antropológie Slovenskej akadémie vied, v. v. i.

- personal criticism of the author is inappropriate;
- reviewed articles should be treated confidentially;
- reviewers should have no conflict of interest with respect to reviewed articles;
- reviewers should point out relevant published work which is not yet cited;
- editors publishing in their own journal must not exploit their position (the process of peer-review must be handled independently of the author);
- reviewers should assess the manuscript in a timely manner;
- for further information concerning responsibilities of reviewers see also point 3 in Peer-review and guidelines for reviewers

Responsibilities of editors

- editors should strive to meet the needs of readers and authors; constantly improve the journal; ensure the quality of the material they publish; champion freedom of expression;
- editors have complete responsibility and authority to reject/accept an article on the basis of objective academic criteria (such as originality, importance, clarity, etc.);
- editors have to accept the right of authors to appeal against their decisions;
- editors have no conflict of interest with respect to articles they reject/accept;
- editors have to publish submission and acceptance dates for articles, description of peer-review process, and to provide continually actualized guidance to authors on everything that is



Ústav etnológie a sociálnej antropológie Slovenskej akadémie vied, v. v. i.



expected of them (including guidance about authorship criteria);

- editors should be reasonably certain of accepting articles;
- decisions of acceptance of the articles cannot be reversed by editors without serious reasons; new editors should not overturn decisions to publish submissions made by previous editors without serious reasons;
- editors promote publication of corrections or retractions of the text if there occur errors or inaccuracies;
- in relation to reviewers and the peer- review process are editors obliged to provide peer-review guidance; require the disclosure of any reviewer's conflict of interests; preserve anonymity of reviewers; to ensure that material submitted to Slovenský národopis/ Slovak Ethnology remains confidential while under review; for further information concerning responsibilities of editors in relation to reviewers see point 1, 2, 4, 5 in Peer-review and guidelines for reviewers;
- in relation to editorial board members: to provide new editorial board members with guidelines on everything that is expected of them and to keep existing members updated on new policies and developments;
- editors must maintain integrity of the academic record; editors are also willing to preclude business needs from compromising intellectual and ethical standards;
- editors are always prepared to monitor and safeguard all issues of publishing ethics and promptly communicate with authors/ reviewers/readers in cases of possible errors in publishing of the texts; suspected misconducts (authorship complaints, plagiarism, fabrication of data, research standards violations, research result misappropriations, etc.). This communication





Ústav etnológie a sociálnej antropológie Slovenskej akadémie vied, v. v. i.

will follow (and will be continually updated by) the rules on Elsevier's and COPE's websites (1, 2, 3);

• editors are always willing to publish corrections, clarifications, retractions and apologies when needed.

Responsibilities of publisher

- the publisher should: define the relationship between publisher, editors and other parties; respect privacy (for authors, for peer reviewers and other parties); protect intellectual property and copyright; foster editorial independence;
- the publisher should work with journal editors to set journal policies appropriately and aim to meet those policies, particularly with respect to: editorial independence; research ethics(including confidentiality, consent, and the special requirements for research in social sciences); authorship; transparency and integrity (conflicts of interest, research funding, reporting standards); peer review (for further information concerning responsibilities in relation to peer review process see point 5 in Peer-review and Guidelines for reviewers); appeals and complaints;
- the publisher should work with journal editors also to: communicate journal policies; review journal policies periodically; maintain the integrity of academic record; publish corrections, clarifications, and retractions; publish content on timely basis.